

**FLOOD CONTROL ADVISORY BOARD
MINUTES**

October 22, 2003

Chairman Melvin Martin called the monthly meeting of the Flood Control Advisory Board (FCAB) to order at 2:00 p.m. on Wednesday, October 22, 2003.

Board Members Present: Melvin Martin, Chairman; Scott Ward, Secretary, Tom Callow, Ex Officio; Paul Cherrington, Ex Officio; and Kent Cooper.

Board Members Absent: Hemant Patel.

Staff Members Present: Tim Phillips Deputy Chief Engineer and General Manager; Julie Lemmon, General Counsel; Dick Perreault, CIP/Policy Manager; Russ Miracle, Division Manager, Planning and Project Management; Greg Jones, Regional Area Manager; Kelli Sertich, Regional Area Planning Manager; Sally Stewart, Public Information Officer; Marilyn DeRosa, Planning Project Manager; Bobbie Ohler, Project Manager; Valerie Swick, Planning Project Manager; Linda Reinbold, Administrative Coordinator and Alicia Robertson, Clerk of the FCAB.

Guests Present: Paul Goss, CMX; Teri George, David Evans and Associates; Brian Fry, Dibble & Associates; Jeannette Fish, Maricopa County Farm Bureau; Bryan L. Lake, USACE, L.A. District; Tim Montgomery, HDR Engineering; Ramzi Georges DRA; Scott Estergard, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers; Mike Heaton, PEC; Sam Malekooti, Premier Engineering Co; Lance Klhuer, NRS; Hasan Mushtaq, City of Phoenix; Karen Williams, City of Phoenix; Lynn Timmons, City of Phoenix; Allan Zimmerman, City of Chandler; Ed Fritz, MCDOT; Jon Fuller, JE Fuller; Alec Mercer, Gerry Stricklin, Town of Wickenburg, CMX and Andrea McNamara, Entellus.

1) APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2003

ACTION: It was moved by Mr. Cooper and seconded by Mr. Callow to approve the minutes as submitted. The motion carried unanimously.

2) APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 24, 2003

ACTION: It was moved by Mr. Cooper and seconded by Mr. Callow to approve the minutes as submitted. The motion carried unanimously.

3) ELECTION OF THE FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT ADVISORY BOARD OFFICERS

Based on District Staff's recommendations, the FCAB elected the following Board members to serve a one-year term from November 2003 through October 2004.

Chairman
Melvin Martin

Vice Chairman
Scott Ward

Secretary
Kent Cooper

ACTION: It was moved by Mr. Callow and seconded by Mr. Cherrington to approve staff recommendations. The motion carried unanimously.

4) APPOINTMENT TO THE FCAB STANDING COMMITTEES FOR 2004

Based on District Staff recommendations, the FCAB appointed the following Board members to the FCAB Standing Committees:

Legislative Committee
Paul Cherrington
Kent Cooper

Program & Budget Committee
Melvin Martin
Hemant Patel

Policy Committee
Tom Callow
Hemant Patel

Public Information Committee
Scott Ward
New Board Member

ACTION: It was moved by Mr. Callow and seconded by Mr. Cherrington to approve staff recommendations. The motion carried unanimously.

5) FLOOD HAZARD AND STORM WATER EDUCATION PLAN

Sally Stewart, Public Information Officer, presented the Flood Hazard and Storm Water Education Plan, Resolution FCD 2003R007. Growth in Maricopa County has made it imperative that the District re-new its strategic focus on educating the more than three million County residents as well as newcomers and tourists about flood hazards and stormwater pollution issues, which decreases the potential for the loss of life and helps to improve the quality of stormwater runoff. The Flood Hazard Education Plan is designed to educate, involve and influence the public by providing relevant safety, flood hazard and stormwater pollution information in a comprehensive, three-year phased in approach. Initially, the District will concentrate on basic safety messages and activities to get the message to as many citizens as quickly as possible. Initial funding of \$350,000 will support the top priorities.

Discussion:

Callow: Will this be accomplished with consultants or additional staff, what do we get for the \$350,000?

Stewart: The \$350,000 is divided up among the main activities that I mentioned. Sponsoring the Gannet, Channel 12 Monsoon Special costs approximately \$25,000. Sponsoring the Metro Traffic Reports for 8 weeks costs, \$50,000. The monetary amount also includes \$100,000 for an

on-call public relations consultant who would help implement the Plan. The phone directory information is the most expensive item costing \$156,000 per year. The phone directory is a tactic used by many CRS (Community Rating System) communities across the Nation. In a lot of communities that information is included in the phone directory free of charge, however, in the State of Arizona it is not.

Martin: This is broken down in the FCAB book on pages 9 through 19.

ACTION: It was moved by Mr. Cooper and seconded by Mr. Ward to approve staff recommendations. The motion carried unanimously.

6) 23rd AVENUE AND ROESER ROAD STORM DRAIN AND DETENTION BASIN

Kelli Sertich, AICP, Regional Area Planning Manager, presented the 23rd Avenue and Roeser Road Storm Drain and Detention Basin, Resolution FCD 2003R008. Studies have identified a series of projects to provide drainage infrastructure and flooding protection within a 26 square mile watershed. The area is bound by the Salt River, 7th Avenue, South Mountain Park, and 43rd Avenue. The improvement projects consist of storm drains, detention basins, and open channel conveyances. The City of Phoenix will serve as the lead agency for the Project. The District's share of the Project is \$2,050,000.

Discussion:

Callow: We (City of Phoenix) want to complete the projects in the ADMS to round out all the projects.

Ward: Do you have a larger aerial? I'd like to see where the watershed is.

Callow: The watershed essentially starts at the peak of South Mountain and flows north to the Salt River.

Ward: What electric utility district is this, SRP or APS?

Cherrington: SRP for most of it.

Ward: Are there any SRP crossings or easements?

Callow: Do you mean the storm drain itself?

Ward: When we cross an SRP crossing do we have to come in and get an easement from SRP?

Cherrington: Where you cross SRP, if it is their water facility they have prior rights. You get an easement from us where you cross.

Callow: It is fairly routine.

Ward: Do they charge us for that?

Phillips: In most cases we have an agreement between municipalities where we don't charge them for our permits and they don't charge us for their permits. It is more a matter of going through the mechanism of submitting plans and getting them approved. Getting the permits is not an issue. In this particular area, it is still relatively undeveloped so it is not going to have a lot of utilities.

Cherrington: There is probably a nominal administrative fee to administer the license to get the easements.

Ward: Do you have another map Kelli?

Sertich: Yes, we can go to the previous map we were looking at.

Ward: So the water comes off the mountain and flows north?

Callow: Correct.

Ward: Is this an area of rapid growth?

Callow: Yes, very much so. It is one of the few areas left in Phoenix that you can develop without having to obtain 404 permit approvals to build the washes.

Phillips: This is a good project. It is part of the overall master plan. Some of the elements Kelli mentioned are already in place, such as the golf course, which is also a basin; Baseline Road and 43rd Avenue Storm Drains, and the 43rd Avenue and Southern Basin. The remaining elements are the 2 basins along 27th Avenue, south of Baseline Road and another at 43rd Avenue and Baseline Road. Part of the discussion with the City of Phoenix is to look at next year's proposed bond election to get their cost share portion for the basins, so that we can finish out the South Phoenix Laveen Area Drainage Master Plan.

Ward: Is the City of Phoenix going to maintain the site as a park?

Sertich: Yes, they will.

Lemmon: Kelli, is the District going to be acquiring the land?

Kelli: The City of Phoenix is going to acquire the land.

ACTION: It was moved by Mr. Cherrington and seconded by Mr. Cooper to approve staff recommendations. The motion carried unanimously with the exception of Mr. Martin who abstained for cause.

7) ROSE GARDEN LANE CHANNEL

Marilyn DeRosa, Planning Project Manager, presented the Rose Garden Lane Channel IGA FCD 2003A012. The Rose Garden Lane Channel is a high priority recommendation of the Glendale/Peoria ADMP (Area Drainage Master Plan) and ranks as a high priority flood control project for Peoria. The proposed open channel extends along the north side of Rose Garden Lane extends from approximately Lake Pleasant Parkway to the Agua Fria River, with underground box culverts at road crossings. Peoria will lead the design, rights-of-way acquisition, and utility relocation for the Channel. The District and Peoria will share (50/50) the channel design, right-of-way acquisition, and utility relocation costs, estimated to be \$1,570,000, approximately \$785,000 each.

Discussion:

Cooper: The design cost and total project cost excluding the land was how much?

DeRosa: The design cost was about \$450,000, the land was \$1.2 million and the construction is estimated to be \$2.1 million. Absent the right-of-way acquisition it is about a \$2.5 or \$2.6 million project.

Cooper: That sounds like extremely high design cost, it is almost 20% of your capital that you are spending. It looks like a fairly simple project in terms of structures. When someone builds things like this in the private sector we would never pay anywhere near that amount.

DeRosa: The estimated cost of construction comes from excavation, cost of materials and boxes at the road crossings but most importantly, it is meant to convey over 1000 CFS with a relatively minimal slope, the width of the channel is about 90 feet. I think a lot of the cost comes from excavation of that material. There is also a cost included for landscaping. We can review the cost estimates with you if you would like.

Cooper: I'm not worried about the construction cost. The design costs are typically a much smaller percentage of the construction cost than you are showing. I assume that this is a preliminary budget and these costs will be negotiated.

DeRosa: I apologize, I was referring to construction costs; your question was about design.

Cooper: Typically, the design fee is about 7% or 8%. The design cost is a number that leaped out at me during your presentation.

Phillips: The costs are very preliminary based on the data in the ADMP. As we get into it, we will go through the negotiating process and get the appropriate price for the design. It is not like it is a cap at this point; you can say we are conservative in the design cost and not so conservative

in the construction cost. That will play out as the project is developed and the contracts are awarded, it is not a fixed limit.

Ward: Is this designed in house?

Phillips: The intent is for this to be contracted for design.

Lemmon: The City of Peoria is the lead on this Project.

Ward: Is the City of Peoria here?

DeRosa: Our contact at the City of Peoria has been Burton Charron. Our project management group will work closely with the City to develop the scope of services for the design and ultimately the scope of services for construction.

Miracle: This contract will be issued by the City of Peoria, our expectation is that they will use consultants for the design. The District will be involved with them throughout the process and will conduct reviews. As far as the cost, typically we expect about 10% for the engineering fee. A lot of times in our planning studies we will add additional amounts in the budget to handle additional things like geotechnical investigations, land appraisals, etc. Our normal process is we advertise, interview and negotiate the price of the contracts. 10% is about right for design, sometimes we can get it for a little less.

ACTION: It was moved by Mr. Callow and seconded by Mr. Cherrington to approve staff recommendations. The motion carried unanimously.

8) ALMA SCHOOL DRAIN OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

Bobbie Ohler, Project Manager, presented the Alma School Drain Operations and Maintenance IGA with SRP and Mesa, IGA FCD 2000A010. The District, SRP and Mesa have decided to enter into a new agreement to provide for bringing the Alma School Drain up to appropriate maintenance standards and to define the future responsibilities for operation and maintenance of the Alma School Drain. This IGA will replace IGA 371-67-F. The Alma School Drain is used by SRP to discharge flows from its Tempe Canal to the Salt River, and the Drain is also used by Mesa as an outfall for its municipal storm drains. The IGA requires the District to pay Mesa and SRP \$47,000 each, as the District's share of costs to fully compensate Mesa and SRP for bringing the Alma School Drain up to appropriate maintenance standards.

Discussion:

Callow: Is the payment a one-time payment?

Ohler: Yes.

Cooper: I'm curious, why is SRP involved in this?

Ohler: They use the drain as a waste way canal for their Tempe Canal. They originally constructed it with the City of Mesa when it was more of a regional drain. Since the freeways have been built in the area it is more of a local drain and a waste way for the Tempe Canal.

Ward: If you look where the waterway is, it looks like the freeway acts as a dam, is that correct?

Callow: No, it is south of the river.

Cherrington: There is an outlet through the freeway.

Ohler: The surface water flows north close to the river to a box culvert at the freeway. The freeway also has some drainage structures associated with it.

Ward: So we are catching the water and running it under the freeway to the river.

Ohler: The maximum flow through the Alma School Drain is about 1000 CFS, with storm drains and it is used as a waste way for the Tempe Canal.

ACTION: It was moved by Mr. Callow and seconded by Mr. Ward to approve staff recommendations. The motion carried unanimously.

9) 24TH AVENUE AND CAMELBACK ROAD DETENTION BASIN AND STORM DRAIN PROJECT

Russ Miracle, Planning and Project Management, Division Manager, presented the 24th Avenue and Camelback Detention Basin and Storm Drain Project, Resolution FCD 2003R009. Repetitive flooding in the vicinity of 24th Avenue and Camelback Road resulted in the City of Phoenix identifying this area as one of their top 20 drainage issues. Phoenix completed a study of the flooding hazards within the 3.6 square mile drainage area in 2003. The study recommends construction of four basins and associated storm drains to collect and dispose of the floodwater. The basins are located at Grand Canal, Camelback Road, Washington Park and Northern Avenue. It is proposed that the basins are constructed in phases with the basin and storm drain in the vicinity of 24th Avenue and Camelback Road constructed in Phase 1.

Discussion:

Callow: I would like to point out that not only have homes been affected but commercial development in the area has suffered damage too. I've been inside of Bill Luke's where they have had cars filled with water.

Miracle: I do understand it is not just residences. There are also office buildings and apartment complexes and residences used as commercial businesses.

Ward: This is probably one of the oldest and densest areas in the City of Phoenix and it looks like you designed the first basin on the north side of Camelback Road. Isn't that a highly commercial area that will be expensive? It looks like right on Camelback Road, Phase I is the biggest basin and probably the most expensive land.

Miracle: The City of Phoenix has been looking at this, they are keenly aware of the commercial property bordering Camelback Road. The initial layout of the basin is to leave a lot of the frontage on Camelback Road because of cost. Decisions will be made on the final design of the exact footprint of the project. .

Ward: A lot of the residents that live in this area have lived here for a very long time and have a lot of memories in their homes. I hope that the District and the City of Phoenix can be sensitive to this. Obviously when we come in and appraise someone's house that has been there for forty or fifty years it does not have the same intrinsic value. I'm an advocate of public safety, but I'm really an advocate of taking a sensitive position of the homeowners knowing that it might be hard for them to go out and find comparable housing.

Martin: The Project needs to be done, there is a Volvo dealer just south of this location that is for sell, cheap. Could you tear it down and make a retention area there rather than on the north side?

Callow: It is not big enough.

Martin: Does the City of Phoenix already own some property north of Camelback?

Callow: I'm not sure if we do or not. We have been buying right-of-way in the area for the Light Rail System.

ACTION: It was moved by Mr. Cooper and seconded by Mr. Cherrington to approve staff recommendations. The motion carried unanimously.

9A) RIO SALADO OESTE

Valerie Swick, Planning Project Manager, presented the Rio Salado Oeste Project, Resolution FCD 2003R010. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers is currently conducting a feasibility study for the Project. The study goal is to assess the opportunities to reduce potential flood damage by restoring, preserving, and/or creating sustainable habitat features in this reach of the Salt River.

The Oeste project will connect to the future Tres Rios Project near 83rd Avenue. The Federal sponsor for the feasibility phase of the study is the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. The non-Federal sponsor is the City of Phoenix. The study area includes portions of the City of Phoenix, Maricopa County, as well as state and federal land. The total cost of the study is estimated to be \$3,882,999. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers requires a non-Federal match of \$1,941,000, which is 50% of the total. The City of Phoenix has requested that the District contribute \$408,000 or 21% of the required match to the Rio Salado Oeste study. It is estimated that about 40% of the Rio Salado Oeste Feasibility study is related to flood control elements of the project.

Karen Williams from the City of Phoenix addressed the FCAB on behalf of the City of Phoenix. Ms. Williams thanked everyone for the success of the upstream portion of Rio Salado. Almost 18 months ago the second portion of the low flow channel was completed. It was a two-year project in conjunction with the District. Karen gave details of the success and status of the project.

Discussion:

Ward: I'd like to compliment Ms. Williams and her entire Team, the City of Phoenix and the District. As I drive over the river crossings and see the remarkable changes in the last four or five years to the river bottom, it is wonderful. I hope that you can someday ride a bike from Tempe Town Lake to the natural river out west. Secondly, I've always had an eye on South Phoenix, and I think this is an example of a link between what is happening, if you look at all of the good quality growth along the Baseline corridor. I'm seeing an attitude that is proactive, properties are being fixed up, I applaud you, and this has great vision.

Williams: Thank you very much.

Cherrington: What is the source of water for the riparian vegetation and wetlands?

Williams: In the upstream portion, we are tapping into the shadow aquifers with new wells. We do know there is a degree of contamination and part of the process is to treat that water and then use only what it takes to sustain the vegetation. There is a supply well which goes into the reservoir pond on the overbank area, then through an open canal and feeds the pond from there it goes to a local channel.

Cherrington: So you are pumping it?

Williams: Yes, we are pumping it.

Cherrington: The City of Phoenix is pumping?

Williams: Yes.

Swick: As part of the feasibility study we will identify where we are going to have habitat areas and where we will get the water. We won't just decide to put habitat somewhere and not be able to sustain it.

Williams: Everything drains to the river, so the vegetation that you currently see in the low flow channel is being sustained by the storm drain flows. The Oeste Study so far is indicating that the source of water would be our 23rd Avenue Water Treatment. If we need it we turn it on, if we don't need it then we don't use it.

Cherrington: Do you anticipate bird strike issues with the airport?

Williams: That is a good question. When you look at the habitat picture you will notice that you do not see any of the water ponds closer to the airport, which is on the eastern end. We have a full time Federal Aviation Administration staff person on our design team reviewing and signing off on everything that we do.

Cherrington: Are you concerned at all about creating habitat for endangered species?

Williams: We have gotten a Safe Harbor Agreement, which says we have a current baseline of zero endangered species. If endangered species move into the area then we can manage vegetation and habitat without having a strike against us. Our goal in the project is good habitat value, in the long term if we create the home of an endangered species that is wonderful, we just don't want them to get in the way of managing the flood or storm drain capacity.

Cherrington: I'd be caution of even the Safe Harbor Agreement.

Williams: We are trying to address it the best we can upfront.

Cherrington: I agree and applaud that you are taking care of it upfront.

Martin: What are the boundaries?

Williams: Currently we just have the east and west boundaries. How wide the river will be north and south has not been determined yet, that is part of what we will do in the feasibility study.

Martin: Are you going to change anything at 19th Avenue where the river has been channelized?

Williams: Yes, it will look like more of what we are doing upstream between 7th Avenue and 7th Street.

Martin: Will you change the landscape of 19th Avenue East?

Williams: Yes.

Martin: They did a nice job with trails and landscaping.

Williams: This fall there will be \$35 million worth of contract work going on. We are really looking at spring of 2005 for a public opening.

Martin: The north/south boundaries from 19th Avenue west are not determined?

Williams: Right.

Martin: When I was on the Village Planning Committee they had talked about a Rio Salado Parkway on the south side of the river. Is that still in the works?

Williams: That is out of our River Restoration Project but is part of what we consider "Beyond the Banks". Currently we have a Beyond the Banks Area Master Plan, it is seven square miles around the riverbed. It is not funded yet, funding depends on the extension of the sales tax.

Martin: Is it going to the north side of Broadway?

Williams: Yes.

Ward: Is there a special economic designation for this area to promote housing, light industrial, schools or offices?

Williams: When we went out to start the public involvement for Rio Salado almost eight years ago, one of the things asked was what difference does it make to impact our communities? From that day we had a "Beyond the Banks Committee". What will go before our Planning Commission now is an inserted two year process to come up with a master plan for seven square miles, that specifically addresses land uses, safety and accessibility issues, everything that the Planning Department looks at. This addresses future plans as well as some action priority steps and will be going for Council approval November 19, 2003. Some of the economic engines that are needed for projects to fulfill the plan will be a part of the next bond election, which will be in another two years.

ACTION: It was moved by Mr. Callow and seconded by Mr. Ward to approve staff recommendations. The motion carried unanimously.

10) **FISCAL YEAR 2004/2005 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (CIP) PRIORITIZATION PROCEDURE RESULTS AND STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS.**

Dick Perreault, CIP and Policy Manager presented the CIP Prioritization Results/Recommendations. In May of 2003 project proposal packets were mailed out to a total of 40 municipalities and agencies. July 18, 2003 was the deadline for proposal submittals. A total of thirty (30) requests from twelve (12) municipalities/agencies had been received. In August of 2003 the District's Project Evaluation Committee (PEC) independently reviewed, analyzed and scored each project request that had not been previously recommended. A table was provided showing final staff recommendations, listed in order of their scores.

Discussion:

Callow: Is the \$100 million strictly drainage for the White Tanks ADMP?

Perreault: Yes, that is my understanding it is for the drainage infrastructure for SR 303. It is a very large drainage area, over 280 square miles.

Dick continued with his presentation, summarizing each of the recommended projects not previously scored and then detailing the 8 projects, which the PEC referred to either the Planning Branch or the Floodplain Branch for future studies.

Discussion:

Ward: What are you going to do with the basin that was purchased at Queen Creek Road and McQueen?

Perreault: We have prepared a letter to be sent to the City of Chandler, recommending some alternatives for the basin. The District cooperatively purchased the parcel with the City. They own the north half and we own the south half. Since the District acquired it the City has changed its concept, they are not currently planning to use the property for a police training facility. The basin by itself does not provide a lot of benefit, it is estimated that a basin at that location is totally within the existing floodplain. Without an outfall, it is a problem. We could use dry wells but it does not provide the same level of protection that would be provided if we continued the project and provided a channel for the outfall.

Ward: Is one of the options to sell the property?

Perreault: Yes, we want to hear back from the City on what their desires are before we proceed.

Martin: Is this 80 acres?

Perreault: I believe 78 acres.

Ward: What did you pay for that piece?

Perreault: I believe it was \$75,000 per acre.

Ward: Are you aborting the Higley study?

Phillips: Without the outfall across the Indian community, or the Consolidated or the Eastern Canals, you have no place to take the water if you have the channels upstream. The channels and the basins continue to capture, but you need a place to discharge the water. I wouldn't say we are aborting the study, but the elements of the study don't prove to be feasible without the outfall component.

Dick continued summarizing the projects not recommended including the Southeast Phoenix Drainage Basin Water Quality Program. The District is still discussing the project with the City of Phoenix but at this point it is not recommended that the District take on the commitment to actually be a funding partner for the development of a Water Quality Program.

Discussion:

Callow: The City of Phoenix has a lot of SRP water in the basin that continues to flow into the basin that SRP and the Indian Community say they will not take back. We have a detention basin filling up with no outfall.

Dick concluded the presentation and asked for questions.

Comments From the Public:

Allen Zimmerman, City of Chandler: A letter was sent out discussing thirty (30) projects that were presented for consideration, of the thirty (30) one was listed from Chandler while three (3) others were submitted for consideration as well. You touched base a little on that because the other three (3) are pretty much in conjunction with the Consolidated Canal. I wanted to get a feeling from you on that and what the reason why that wasn't even considered to be rejected.

Perreault: Actually the other three (3) had already been submitted to us in prior years and was recommended with a qualified recommendation. We have pursued acquiring the rights-of-way for the basin. The second request was to consider excavation and landscaping of the basin, which was previously approved, but is contingent on what the basin actually looks like and what the

outfall to the basin would be. The third request was to acquire rights-of-way for a portion of the Consolidated Canal Diversion Channel outfall, which was not denied but it was contingent on getting the outfall across the Indian Reservation. In this particular case, the City of Chandler's requests were all tied together and it doesn't make sense to do one and not do the others. We made a decision a couple of years ago to buy the right-of-way for the basin because we knew the parcel might not be around if waited too long. As for the other three (3) requests, if we could have gotten the outfall across the Indian Reservation and more funding support from the City we may have implemented all four (4).

Zimmerman: Thank you, I look forward to receiving the letter from the District with alternate solutions.

Gerry Stricklin, Town of Wickenburg: I am here today on behalf of the Mayor and Town Council. I would like to thank you for consideration of our projects. We feel it is a very unique opportunity to protect about 10% of the areal extent of our community. Again, I would like to thank you and answer any questions you might have.

ACTION: No action required – for information and discussion only.

11) COMMENTS FROM THE DEPUTY CHIEF ENGINEER AND GENERAL MANAGER

Mr. Phillips announced the District's recent award from the Arizona Chapter of the American Planning Association. The District's North Peoria Area Drainage Master Plan received the award for the Best Master Plan. Key to the North Peoria Area Drainage Master Plan was initiating the rules of development concept. Kelli Sertich was the project manager for the project.

Mr. Phillips also stated that the concept of the County to look at whether there are opportunities for the District and MCDOT to collaborate in some form or fashion whether it is a materials contract or a combined public works. There has not been any guidance or direction on this as of yet.

ACTION: No action required – for information and discussion only.

12) SUMMARY OF RECENT ACTIONS

Actions of the Board of Supervisors were included in the FCAB packet.

13) OTHER BUSINESS AND COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC

There was no other business or comments from the public.

The meeting was adjourned at 4:04 p.m. by general consent.

Kent Cooper
Secretary of the Board

Alicia Robertson
Clerk of the Board